

 COLLEGE UNION '26-'27 GOVT.TD MEDICAL COLLEGE ALAPPUZHA	
CHAIRPERSON MINA YOUNUS 85475 36746	To The Controller Of Examination Kerala University Of Health Science Thrissur
VICE CHAIRPERSON (G) FATHIMA SHYBA 7306445340	23 rd july 2026 Subject: Concerns regarding Third Professional MBBS Part II General Surgery Paper 1 Examination (QP Code :323001)
VICE CHAIRPERSON (L) KRISHNAPRIYA KS 85473 36211	Respected Sir, I am writing this letter on behalf of the students of Government Medical College, Alappuzha, respectfully submit this representation regarding certain issues faced by students in the Third Professional MBBS Part I Surgery Examination.
GENERAL SECRETARY VINAYJITH J 87142 86132	The question no 3(2 nd essay) on Benign Breast Disease caused considerable difficulty for the students. The topic did not provide sufficient content to justify a 10-mark essay, making it difficult for students to write an answer of the expected length. Moreover, this topic is not commonly asked as a long essay in university examinations. As a result, many students spent excessive time attempting to answer the question, which adversely affected their time management and performance in the remaining sections of the examination.
JOINT SECRETARY ESHAL BEN 94976 55484	Furthermore, MCQ Question No. 5 (About Hernia) was found to be from the Surgery Paper II syllabus instead of the prescribed Paper I syllabus. This caused confusion among the students and was not in accordance with the expected syllabus distribution. In view of the above concerns, we humbly request your good office to kindly consider these issues sympathetically during the valuation process and extend suitable grace marks or moderation so that the students are not unfairly disadvantaged. We sincerely hope that our genuine concerns will receive your kind consideration and appropriate action.
UUC-UG SASIKALA 8075 143919	Thanking you. Yours faithfully
UUC-PG DR.PREM MOHAN.G 93499 92713	SASIKALA C UUC - UG TDMC
ARTS SECRETARY ARDRA S 7594 014926	
SPORTS SECRETARY AZLAH ZAMAN 98474 28045	
MAGAZINE EDITOR LUKE BIJU 884-8263759	

Letter was sent to Controller of Examinations, KUHS regarding the concerns faced by final year MBBS students in their General Surgery Paper I examination.



COLLEGE UNION '26-'27

GOVT.TD MEDICAL COLLEGE ALAPPUZHA

CHAIRPERSON
MINA YOUNUS
85475 36746

VICE CHAIRPERSON (G)
FATHIMA SHYBA
7306445340

VICE CHAIRPERSON (L)
KRISHNAPRIYA KS
85473 36211

GENERAL SECRETARY
VINAYJITH J
87142 86132

JOINT SECRETARY
ESHAL BEN
94976 55484

UUC-UG
SASIKALA
8075 143919

UUC-PG
DR.PREM MOHAN.G
93499 92713

ARTS SECRETARY
ARDRA S
7594 014926

SPORTS SECRETARY

CHAIRPERSON
MINA YOUNUS
85475 36746

VICE CHAIRPERSON (G)
FATHIMA SHYBA
7306445340

VICE CHAIRPERSON (L)
KRISHNAPRIYA KS
85473 36211

GENERAL SECRETARY
VINAYJITH J
87142 86132

JOINT SECRETARY
ESHAL BEN
94976 55484

UUC-UG
SASIKALA
8075 143919

UUC-PG
DR.PREM MOHAN.G
93499 92713

ARTS SECRETARY
ARDRA S
7594 014926

SPORTS SECRETARY
AZLAH ZAMAN
98474 28045

MAGAZINE EDITOR
LUKE BIJU
884-8263759

To
The Controller of Examinations
Kerala University of Health Sciences (KUHS)
Thrissur, Kerala

Subject: Representation regarding Third Professional MBBS Part II General Surgery part II University Examination

Respected Sir/Madam,

On behalf of the students of Government Medical College, Alappuzha, we would like to submit this representation regarding the Third Professional MBBS Part I General Surgery University Examination.

The overall difficulty level of the question paper was considerably higher than expected for an undergraduate examination. Most questions were highly time-consuming and application-oriented, making it difficult for students to complete the paper within the allotted time.

Both long essay questions were particularly challenging. Although the diagnosis in the clinical scenarios was straightforward, the subquestions were predominantly comparison-based and application-level questions, which are not usually asked in the university examination pattern. This made it difficult for students to answer them comprehensively.

The MCQ section was also highly time-consuming and was perceived to be beyond the expected competency level of an undergraduate student. Question No. 5, which dealt with Burst Abdomen, was not a commonly asked long-answer topic. In addition, the subquestion on Incisional Hernia was also not in the usual examination pattern, creating difficulty for a majority of the students.

Question No. 6, regarding the association between Helicobacter pylori infection and gastric carcinoma, was found to be confusing, and many students were uncertain about the expected answer.

Letter was sent to Controller of Examinations, KUHS regarding the concerns faced by final year MBBS students in their General Surgery Paper II examination.



COLLEGE UNION '26-'27

GOVT.TD MEDICAL COLLEGE ALAPPUZHA

CHAIRPERSON MINA YOUNUS 85475 36746 VICE CHAIRPERSON (G) FATHIMA SHYBA 7306445340 VICE CHAIRPERSON (L) KRISHNAPRIYA KS 85473 36211 GENERAL SECRETARY VINAYJITH J 87142 86132 JOINT SECRETARY ESHAL BEN 94976 55484 UUC-UG SASIKALA 8075 143919 UUC-PG DR.PREM MOHAN.G 93499 92713 ARTS SECRETARY ARDRA S 7594 014926 SPORTS SECRETARY AZLAH ZAMAN 98474 28045 MAGAZINE EDITOR LUKE BIJU 884-8263759	To The Controller of Examinations Kerala University of Health Sciences (KUHS) Thrissur, Kerala 27 th july 2026 Subject: Concern Regarding Evaluation of the Second Essay Question in Third Professional MBBS Part II Obstetrics and Gynaecology Examination Respected Sir/Madam, We would like to bring to your kind attention a concern regarding the evaluation of the Third Professional MBBS Part II Obstetrics and Gynaecology Examination. The 3rd question (Second Essay) in the examination paper was particularly challenging, as many students faced difficulty in arriving at the correct diagnosis. The clinical presentation was interpreted in different ways by students. While the expected diagnosis appears to be Anaemia with Fetal Growth Restriction (FGR), many students diagnosed the case as Anaemia, Fetal Growth Restriction (FGR), or Anaemia with Heart Failure, based on the clinical features provided in the question. The overlap of clinical findings in the case led to genuine diagnostic confusion among students. Those who identified one of the major components of the diagnosis demonstrated partial clinical understanding and should not be unduly penalized. In view of this, we humbly request the University to consider awarding appropriate marks to students who have written Anaemia, Fetal Growth Restriction (FGR), or Anaemia with Heart Failure, wherever the subsequent discussion and management are consistent with their diagnosis. Such an approach would ensure a fair and compassionate evaluation of the responses. We sincerely request your kind consideration of this matter and hope that appropriate directions will be issued to the examiners to ensure a just and equitable evaluation. Thank you Yours faithfully, SASIKALA C University Union Council (UUC)-UG Government T.D. Medical College, Alappuzha
---	--

Letter was sent to the Controller of Examination, KUHS regarding the concerns faced by final year students in their Obstetrics and Gynaecology examination

**GOVERNMENT T D MEDICAL COLLEGE
ALAPPUZHA**





COLLEGE UNION '26-'27

GOVT.TD MEDICAL COLLEGE ALAPPUZHA

CHAIRPERSON MINA YOUNUS 85475 36746 VICE CHAIRPERSON (G) FATHIMA SHYBA 7306445340 VICE CHAIRPERSON (L) KRISHNAPRIYA KS 85473 36211 GENERAL SECRETARY VINAYJITH J 87142 86132 JOINT SECRETARY ESHAL BEN 94976 55484 UUC-UG SASIKALA 8075 143919 UUC-PG DR.PREM MOHAN.G 93499 92713 ARTS SECRETARY ARDRA S 7594 014926 SPORTS SECRETARY AZLAH ZAMAN 98474 28045 MAGAZINE EDITOR LUKE BIJU 884-8263759	To The Controller of Examination Kerala University Of Health Science Subject: Request for Consideration Regarding the Third Professional Part II MBBS Paediatrics and Neonatology Examination Respected Sir/Madam, We, the students, would like to bring to your kind attention certain concerns regarding the recently conducted Third Professional Part II MBBS Paediatrics and Neonatology examination. The examination was found to be significantly difficult and not in accordance with the expected undergraduate level standards. Many students felt that the questions were overly complex and confusing, making it difficult to arrive at appropriate answers. In the first essay question, the clinical scenario provided was insufficient and unclear, which prevented students from reaching a definitive diagnosis. As a result, many students answered it variably as shock, neonatal hypothermia, or sepsis, depending on their interpretation of the limited clinical details. Similarly, the second essay question also lacked adequate clinical information, leading students to consider diagnoses such as diarrhoea with malnutrition or even diarrhoea alone. Furthermore, the short essay questions were predominantly focused on general topics, while system-based topics were comparatively underrepresented. This imbalance made it difficult for many students to adequately prepare and attempt the paper effectively. The MCQ section was also reported to be highly confusing and appeared to be of a difficulty level closer to postgraduate standards, further adding to the overall challenge faced by students. In view of the above concerns, we humbly request you to kindly consider the difficulties faced by the students while evaluating the examination papers. We also request that appropriate measures such as liberal valuation, moderation, or consideration of all valid possible answers be taken into account to ensure fairness to all candidates. We sincerely hope for your kind understanding and favorable consideration in this matter. Thanking you. Yours faithfully, SASIKALA C UUC- UG TDMC
---	--

Letter was sent to the Controller of Examinations, KUHS raising the concerns faced by final year students in their Paediatrics and Neonatology examination



COLLEGE UNION '26-'27

GOVT.TD MEDICAL COLLEGE ALAPPUZHA

CHAIRPERSON MINA YOUNUS 85475 36746 VICE CHAIRPERSON (G) FATHIMA SHYBA 7306445340 VICE CHAIRPERSON (L) KRISHNAPRIYA KS 85473 36211 GENERAL SECRETARY VINAYJITH J 87142 86132 JOINT SECRETARY ESHAL BEN 94976 55484 UUC-UG SASIKALA 8075 143919 UUC-PG DR.PREM MOHAN.G 93499 92713 ARTS SECRETARY ARDRA S 7594 014926 SPORTS SECRETARY AZLAH ZAMAN 98474 28045 MAGAZINE EDITOR LUKE BIJU 884-8263759	To The Controller of Examinations Kerala University of Health Sciences (KUHS) 5th August 2026 Subject: Request for Liberal Valuation – First Professional MBBS Examination (Anatomy Paper I) Respected Sir/Madam, I would like to bring to your kind attention a few concerns regarding the recently conducted Anatomy Paper I examination. The second essay question did not have an appropriate distribution of marks, and the topic on the medial surface of the cerebrum was not of the type that is usually expected for a long essay question. Furthermore, clinical essay questions, which are commonly expected in the examination pattern, were not included. The short essay questions were highly time-consuming, making it difficult for students to complete the paper within the allotted time. In addition, chapters with greater academic weightage were not adequately represented, while Embryology received comparatively higher weightage than expected, affecting the overall balance of the question paper. General Anatomy and General Embryology were given considerably higher weightage than expected, whereas Head and Neck Anatomy, which carries significant importance in the syllabus, received comparatively less weightage. This affected the overall balance of the examination. Also the AETCOM questions which is usually asked for 4 mark was absent Instead it is asked for only one mark In view of these concerns, we sincerely request the University to consider liberal valuation of the Anatomy Paper I, so that students are not unfairly disadvantaged. We hope our request will be considered sympathetically and appropriate action will be taken. Thank you. Yours faithfully SASIKALA C UUC- UG TDMC
---	--

Letter was sent to the Controller of examination KUHS raising the concerns faced by First Year students in their Anatomy Paper 1 examination




COLLEGE UNION '26-'27

GOVT.TD MEDICAL COLLEGE ALAPPUZHA

CHAIRPERSON MINA YOUNUS 85475 36746 VICE CHAIRPERSON (G) FATHIMA SHYBA 7306445340 VICE CHAIRPERSON (L) KRISHNAPRIYA KS 85473 36211 GENERAL SECRETARY VINAYJITH J 87142 86132 JOINT SECRETARY ESHAL BEN 94976 55484 UUC-UG SASIKALA 8075 143919 UUC-PG DR.PREM MOHAN.G 93499 92713 ARTS SECRETARY ARDRA S 7594 014926 SPORTS SECRETARY AZLAH ZAMAN 98474 28045 MAGAZINE EDITOR LUKE BIJU 884-8263759	To The Controller of Examinations Kerala University of Health Sciences (KUHS), Thrissur Subject: Request for consideration regarding difficulties in Physiology Paper I Respected Sir/Madam, We, the students of the 2025 admission batch, would like to bring to your kind attention the difficulties faced during today's Physiology Paper I examination. Firstly, a printing error in the question paper was noticed only after approximately one hour of the examination. By then, several students had already attempted the concerned question based on the incorrect wording. Since the questions carried 6 marks and 4 marks, students who attempted them before the correction may have written their answers according to the wrong interpretation and mark distribution. We humbly request the University to consider this issue during valuation and ensure that students are not penalised for the error. Secondly, many students found the 4-mark questions unusually difficult, making them challenging to answer adequately within the given time. Additionally, some 6-mark questions appeared to be more appropriate for 4 marks, while certain 4-mark questions required comparatively greater depth and understanding. Therefore, we sincerely request the Controller of Examinations and the Board of Examiners to consider these difficulties during valuation and provide appropriate moderation or necessary consideration, ensuring a fair evaluation for all students. Thank you for your kind consideration. Yours faithfully SASIKALA C UUC-UG TDMC
---	--

Letter was sent to Controller of Examinations, KUHS regarding the concerns faced by first year students in their PHYSIOLOGY PAPER I examination.



Letter was submitted to the Controller of examination, KUHS regarding the difficulties faced by Post Graduate students in their examinations, highlighting the marked change in question structure and topic distribution.